The cost-effectiveness of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis in men who have sex with men at high risk of HIV acquisition in eight Latin American countries

<u>J. Ballivian¹</u>; L. Pastori¹; E. Lazo¹; C. Moreno¹; C. Volij²; V. Penini²; A. Santoro²; S. Esteban²; A. Rubinstein²; F. Augustovski²; A. Pichon-Riviere²; S. Garcia-Marti²

Institute of Health Policy and Effectiveness. Department of Health Technology Assessment, health economics, and systematic reviews Institute of Health Policy and Effectiveness. Center for Implementation and Innovation in Health Policies



<u>Key takeaway:</u> Daily tenofovir/emtricitabine PrEP for high-risk MSM is cost-effective in eight Latin American countries and remains cost-saving across plausible variations in adherence in Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, and Mexico.



Introduction

- -Men who have sex with men (MSM) in Latin America experience high rates of HIV transmission.¹ Although many countries in Latin America have made progress in improving access to pre-exposure prophylaxis, actions to reduce the new HIV infections need to continue to be implemented.²
- -We examined the epidemiological and economic outcomes of implementing pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) programs in Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Mexico, and Peru.

Methods

- -We developed a Cost-Effectiveness model to evaluate the nationwide implementation of a 5-year intervention of oral PrEP.
- -HIV prevalence, incidence, and treatment coverage, among other parameters for each country, were from national-based sources.
- -PrEP effectiveness (86% HIV incidence reduction) was from literature, and PrEP drug costs (\$38.93 per month)

were from the Revolving Fund of the Pan-American Health Organization.

The outcomes were \$/DALY expressed in 2023 USD dollars from a health system perspective.

Results

With a 70% level of adherence in a 100.000 MSM cohort, we estimated that PrEP implementation averted a range from 1.613 to 5.799 HIV diagnosis cases (for Ecuador and Mexico, respectively). See Figure 1. The intervention resulted in 502 to 1,433 life-years saved and between 77 and 231 deaths averted for Ecuador and Chile, respectively. The incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER)ranged from \$-1,407.90/DALY for Mexico to \$2,068.39/DALY for Ecuador. The ROI was positive for Chile, Costa Rica, Mexico, and Colombia, showing a higher return on the investment. However, the ROI was negative for Argentina, Brazil, Peru, and Ecuador.

Figure 1. Cost-effectiveness of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis in MSM at high risk of HIV acquisition in eight Latin American countries

	Argentina		Brasil		Chile		Costa Rica	
	Undiscounted	Discounted	Undiscounted	Discounted	Undiscounted	Discounted	Undiscounted	Discounted
HIV cases averted	1,776	-	4,054	-	4,994	-	2,116	-
Years of life saved	2,020	628	4,236	1,129	6,211	1,433	2,645	771
Disability-Adjusted Life Years averted	9,732	2,480	21,198	4,651	27,422	5,346	12,660	2,962
Deaths averted	84	-	185	-	231	-	109	-
Total cost of the intervention	17,290,920	15,394,649	14,301,281	12,733,475	21,492,519	19,135,319	19,179,596	17,076,848
Avoided costs attributable to the intervention	50,130,602	12,687,745	38,995,215	9,883,528	115,753,526	22,371,172	75,628,410	17,828,243
Cost difference from baseline	-32,839,683	2,706,904	-24,693,934	2,849,947	-94,261,007	-3,235,853	-56,448,813	-751,395
ICER per year of life saved	-16,254	4,307	-5,830	2,524	-15,176	-2,257	-21,344	-974
ICER per DALY averted	-3,374	1,091	-1,165	613	-3,437	-605	-4,459	-254
ICER per life saved	-390,625	32,198	-133,642	15,424	-408,233	-14,014	-517,241	-6,885
ROI	2	0	2	0	4	0	3	0
	Mexi	со	Per	u	Ecua	dor	Colon	nbia
			Per Undiscounted					n <mark>bia</mark> Discounted
HIV cases averted								
HIV cases averted Years of life saved	Undiscounted		Undiscounted	Discounted	Undiscounted		Undiscounted	
	Undiscounted 5,800	Discounted -	Undiscounted 3,627	Discounted -	Undiscounted 1,613	Discounted -	Undiscounted 1,937	Discounted -
Years of life saved	Undiscounted 5,800 5,256	Discounted - 1,022	3,627 3,712	Discounted - 846	Undiscounted 1,613 1,795	Discounted - 502	1,937 2,240	Discounted - 785
Years of life saved Disability-Adjusted Life Years averted	5,800 5,256 25,755	Discounted - 1,022	3,627 3,712 18,590	Discounted - 846	1,613 1,795 8,876	Discounted - 502	1,937 2,240 11,782	Discounted - 785
Years of life saved Disability-Adjusted Life Years averted Deaths averted Total cost of the intervention Avoided costs attributable to the	5,800 5,256 25,755 223 17,142,453	- 1,022 4,327 - 15,264,684	3,627 3,712 18,590 162 13,534,640	- 846 3,495 - 12,051,738	1,613 1,795 8,876 77 12,782,716	- 502 2,013 - 11,381,920	1,937 2,240 11,782 104 16,653,655	785 3,205 - 14,827,552
Years of life saved Disability-Adjusted Life Years averted Deaths averted Total cost of the intervention Avoided costs attributable to the intervention	5,800 5,256 25,755 223 17,142,453 121,501,260	- 1,022 4,327 - 15,264,684 21,356,361	3,627 3,712 18,590 162 13,534,640 62,320,081	Discounted - 846 3,495 - 12,051,738 12,044,893	1,613 1,795 8,876 77 12,782,716 28,341,009	Discounted - 502 2,013 - 11,381,920 7,217,314	1,937 2,240 11,782 104 16,653,655 69,141,945	Discounted - 785 3,205 - 14,827,552 18,294,981
Years of life saved Disability-Adjusted Life Years averted Deaths averted Total cost of the intervention Avoided costs attributable to the intervention Cost difference from baseline	5,800 5,256 25,755 223 17,142,453 121,501,260 -104,358,808	1,022 4,327 - 15,264,684 21,356,361 -6,091,677	3,627 3,712 18,590 162 13,534,640 62,320,081 -48,785,441	Discounted - 846 3,495 - 12,051,738 12,044,893 6,846	1,613 1,795 8,876 77 12,782,716 28,341,009 -15,558,292	Discounted - 502 2,013 - 11,381,920 7,217,314 4,164,606	1,937 2,240 11,782 104 16,653,655 69,141,945 -52,488,290	785 3,205 - 14,827,552 18,294,981 -3,467,429
Years of life saved Disability-Adjusted Life Years averted Deaths averted Total cost of the intervention Avoided costs attributable to the intervention Cost difference from baseline ICER per year of life saved	5,800 5,256 25,755 223 17,142,453 121,501,260 -104,358,808 -19,855	1,022 4,327 - 15,264,684 21,356,361 -6,091,677 -5,958	3,627 3,712 18,590 162 13,534,640 62,320,081 -48,785,441 -13,144	Discounted - 846 3,495 - 12,051,738 12,044,893 6,846 8	1,613 1,795 8,876 77 12,782,716 28,341,009 -15,558,292 -8,667	Discounted - 502 2,013 - 11,381,920 7,217,314 4,164,606 8,296	1,937 2,240 11,782 104 16,653,655 69,141,945 -52,488,290 -23,433	785 3,205 - 14,827,552 18,294,981 -3,467,429 -4,416
Years of life saved Disability-Adjusted Life Years averted Deaths averted Total cost of the intervention Avoided costs attributable to the intervention Cost difference from baseline ICER per year of life saved ICER per DALY averted	5,800 5,256 25,755 223 17,142,453 121,501,260 -104,358,808 -19,855 -4,052	1,022 4,327 - 15,264,684 21,356,361 -6,091,677 -5,958 -1,408	3,627 3,712 18,590 162 13,534,640 62,320,081 -48,785,441 -13,144 -2,624	Discounted - 846 3,495 - 12,051,738 12,044,893 6,846 8 2	1,613 1,795 8,876 77 12,782,716 28,341,009 -15,558,292 -8,667 -1,753	Discounted - 502 2,013 - 11,381,920 7,217,314 4,164,606 8,296 2,068	1,937 2,240 11,782 104 16,653,655 69,141,945 -52,488,290 -23,433 -4,455	785 3,205 - 14,827,552 18,294,981 -3,467,429 -4,416 -1,082
Years of life saved Disability-Adjusted Life Years averted Deaths averted Total cost of the intervention Avoided costs attributable to the intervention Cost difference from baseline ICER per year of life saved	5,800 5,256 25,755 223 17,142,453 121,501,260 -104,358,808 -19,855	1,022 4,327 - 15,264,684 21,356,361 -6,091,677 -5,958	3,627 3,712 18,590 162 13,534,640 62,320,081 -48,785,441 -13,144	Discounted - 846 3,495 - 12,051,738 12,044,893 6,846 8	1,613 1,795 8,876 77 12,782,716 28,341,009 -15,558,292 -8,667	Discounted - 502 2,013 - 11,381,920 7,217,314 4,164,606 8,296	1,937 2,240 11,782 104 16,653,655 69,141,945 -52,488,290 -23,433	785 3,205 - 14,827,552 18,294,981 -3,467,429 -4,416

Conclusions:

PrEP remained cost-saving under plausible variations in PrEP adherence for Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, and Mexico. Dailytenofovir/emtricitabine PrEP among MSM at high risk of HIV acquisition would be cost-effective (<1x GDP) in 8 countries of Latin America