



# With or Without TAF? What is the difference? Data from a real-life setting

N. Squillace1, L. Taramasso2, G. Orofino3, P. Maggi4, B. Menzaghi5, S. Piconi6, G.V. De Socio7, E. Sarchi8, L. Valsecchi9, B.M. Celesia10, F. Vichi11, G. Pellicanò12, G. Cenderello13, K. Falasca14, E. Ricci15, A. Di Biagio2 and P. Bonfanti1, for the CISAI Study Group.

1.Infectious Diseases Unit ASST-MONZA, San Gerardo Hospital-University of Milano-Bicocca, Monza; 2.Infectious Diseases, San Martino Hospital Genoa, University of Genoa, University of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, ASL Città di Torino, Torino, Italy; 4.Infectious Diseases Unit, AORN Sant'Anna e San Sebastiano, Caserta, Italy; 5.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle Olona – Busto Arsizio (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della Valle (VA), Italy; 6.Unit of Infectious Diseases, ASST della V Perugia, Italy; 8.Infectious Diseases Unit, S.Antonio e Biagio e Cesare Arrigo Hospital, Alessandria, Italy; 10. Unit of Infectious Diseases, Garibaldi Hospital, Catania, Italy; 11.Unit of Infectious Diseases, Luigi Sacco Hospital, Milan, Italy; 10. SOC1 USLCENTRO FIRENZE, Santa Maria Annunziata Hospital, Florence, Italy; 12.Infectious Diseases, G. Martino Hospital, Sanremo, Italy; 14.Clinic of Infectious Diseases -Department of Medicine and Science of Aging, University "G. d'Annunzio" Chieti-Pescara, Italy15. Fondazione ASIA Onlus, Buccinasco (MI), Italy

#### Introduction

- OConflicting results are reported in literature about metabolic impact switching to twodrug regimen (2DR) vs Emtricitabine/Tenofovir Alafenamide (FTC/TAF) based regimen (1-2).
- OSalsa study (1) reported only minimal changes in lipid profile after 48 weeks (see figure 1) while Tango trial (2) observed a more favourable lipid asset in people switching to 2 DR (see figure 1).
- ○In Tango study transaminase increase was observed in <1% of the sample with no differences between the 2DR and FTC/TAF-based regimens (TAF-BR) (2).
- Our aim was to investigate the role of switching from FTC/TAF based regimen to a dolutegravir (DTG) containing 2DR vs continuing TAF-BR on metabolic and parameters and liver enzymes.

-10.0

## Figure 1 Changes in lipids in SALSA and TANGO studies TANGO STUDY(2) SALSA STUDY(1) 2.8 3.0 -6.1 (-9.1, -2.9)

# Methods

- OConsecutive people living with HIV infection (PLWH) enrolled in a multicenter observational cohort (SCOLTA) project, on a stable FTC/TAF-based regimen with an HIV-RNA<50 copies/ml were included.
- OHBsAg positive PLWH were excluded.
- Changes from baseline (T0) to follow-up (T1, week 24) were analyzed.

### **Table 1. Patients' characteristics**

Variables at enrollment	2DR	TAF-based	P		
	N=104	N=253			
	Mean SD or N (%) or	Mean SD or N (%) or			
	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)			
Age, years	48.5 ± 13.2	48.4 ± 11.5	0.96		
Sex M	80 (76.9%)	187 (73.9%)	0.55		
BMI Kg/m <sup>2</sup>	25.4 ± 3.9	26.0 ± 6.0	0.35		
Weight, Kg Caucasian	75.8 ± 13.7	75.2 ± 14.3 219 (86.6%)	0.74 0.32		
Risk factor for HIV	94 (90.4%)	219 (80.0%)	0.52		
acquisition	OF (O1 40/)	174 (60 00/)			
Sexual	95 (91.4%)	174 (68.8%)			
	6 (5.6%)	40 (15.8%)			
IDU	3 (2.9%)	39 (15.4%)	<0.0001		
Other/ND	- (()	( ()			
HCV coinfection (n=107/329)	7 (6.9%)	55 (23.2%)	0.0004		
Previous ART					
PI	7 (6.7%)	38 (15.0%)	0.03		
INI	61 (58.6%)	199 (78.7%)	<0.0001		
NNRTI	36 (34.6%)	14 (5.5%)	<0.0001		
СОВІ	37 (35.6%)	161 (63.6%)	<0.0001		
Current regimen					
3TC/DTG	84 (80.8)	0			
RPV/DTG	20 (19.2)	0			
FTC/TAF/BIC	0	243 (96.0)			
FTC/TAF/DTG	0	10 (4.0)			
CDC Stage		, ,			
A	80 (76.9%)	129 (51.2%)			
В	19 (18.3%)	78 (31.0%)			
С	5 (4.8%)	45 (17.9%)	<0.0001		
CD4, cells/mm3	738 (614-926)	645 (490-838)	0.0002		
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	197 ± 37	196 ± 41	0.91		
HDL-cholesterol, mg/dL	51 ± 14	52 ± 17	0.45		
LDL-cholesterol, mg/dL	119 ± 32	116 ± 36	0.35		
Triglycerides, mg/dL Blood glucose, mg/dL	108 (80-154) 88 ± 12	115 (85-163) 93 ± 15	0.33 0.004		
(nondiabetics, n=99/232)	00 I 12	32 T T2	0.004		
Blood glucose, mg/dL	117 ± 40	163 ± 69	0.17		
(diabetics, n=5/21)					
On lipid-lowering drugs	8 (7.7%)	42 (16.6%)	0.03		
AST, UI/dL	21 (17-25)	21 (18-26)	0.23		
ALT, UI/dL	21 (16-27)	21 (16-29)	0.44		

Legend to table 1: SD, Standard Deviation; IQR, Inter Quartile Range; CI, Confidence Interval; M, Male; BMI, Body Mass Index, LDL, Low Density Lipoprotein; HDL, High Density Lipoprotei; eGFR, estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; IU, International Unit; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, aspartate aminotransferase; IDU, Intravenous Drug User; HCV, Hepatitis C Virus; PI, Protease Inhibitors; INI, Integrase Inhibitors; NNRTI, Non nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

#### Results

- o 357 PLWH met the inclusion criteria, 267 (74.8357 PLWH met the inclusion criteria, 267 (74.8%) were males, 313 (87.7) Caucasians. 104 switched to 2DR, 253 continued TAF-BR. 26 PLWH had diabetes.
- The main characteristics at baseline were shown in table 1 Comparing 2DR and TAF-BR, we observed no differences in blood lipids modifications and weight (see table 2).
- Splitting by previous regimens with vs without cobicistat (COBI), total cholesterol (TC),LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) and triglycerides (TGL) showed a significant decrease in patients switching from COBI-containing regimens on overall sample (mean change for TC -18 mg/dL vs -10 mg/dL; LDL-c -12 mg/dL vs -7 mg/dL; TGL -22 mg/dL vs -18 mg/dL for 2 DR vs TAF-BR, p<0.05 for each).
- o Including current regimen and previous COBI in a general linear model, we confirmed the association between decreased blood lipids and COBI.
- o Repeating the analyses on PLWH who did not take lipid-lowering drugs at T0, we confirmed our results. Three patients began lipid-lowering drugs during the follow-up (one in 2DR and two in the TAF group).
- o In PLWH who continued TAF-BR, both with and without previous COBI, we observed a statistically significant increase in the ALT level (+4 UI/dL, P<0.0001), when ALT at T0 was ≤40 UI/dL and within normal ranges value.

Table 2. Change from baseline in lipid profile and weight

All PLWH	T0		T1			
	mean ± SD or median (IQR)			mean ± SE		
	2DR	FTC/TAF	Р	2DR	FTC/TAF	P
	n=104	n=253		n=104	n=253	
Weight (Kg)	75.8 ± 13.7	75.2 ± 14.3	0.74	$-0.2 \pm 0.3$	$0.3 \pm 0.3$	0.36
TC (mg/dL)	197 ± 37	196 ± 41	0.91	$-3.5 \pm 3.1$	-5.1 ± 2.2	0.67
LDL-c (mg/dL)	120 ± 32	116 ± 36	0.35	-2.4 ± 2.7	$-2.7 \pm 2.0$	0.95
TGL (mg/dL)	108 (80-154)	115 (85-163)	0.33	$-6.9 \pm 5.8$	-12.3 ±	0.54
					5.2	
No COBI in previous	2DR	FTC/TAF	Р	2DR	FTC/TAF	Р
regimen	n=67	n=92		n=67	n=92	
Weight (Kg)	76.6 ± 13.5	75.2 ± 12.5	0.52	$-0.2 \pm 0.3$	$0.3 \pm 0.5$	0.48
TC (mg/dL)	191 ± 34	189 ± 43	0.80	$4.8 \pm 2.8$	$2.6 \pm 4.5$	0.71
LDL-c (mg/dL)	116 ± 32	110 ± 38	0.30	$3.2 \pm 2.8$	$5.3 \pm 3.9$	0.68
TGL (mg/dL)	107 (79-134)	113 (80-162)	0.37	$1.5 \pm 6.8$	$-3.2 \pm 8.7$	0.69
COBI in previous regimen	2DR	FTC/TAF	P	2DR	FTC/TAF	Р
	n=37	n=161		n=17	n=104	
Weight (Kg)	$74.3 \pm 14.1$	75.2 ± 14.8	0.74	$-0.1 \pm 0.6$	$0.3 \pm 0.4$	0.59
TC (mg/dL)	208 ± 39	200 ± 39	0.30	-17.9 ± 6.4	$-9.8 \pm 2.1$	0.13
LDL-c (mg/dL)	126 ± 32	119 ± 35	0.29	-12.3 ± 5.0	-7.2 ± 2.1	0.28
TGL (mg/dL)	122 (86-207)	117 (88-165)	0.77	-21.8 ± 10.4	-17.8 ± 6.4	0.77

Legend to table 2; SE, Standard Error; IQR, Inter Quartile Range; LDL-C, Low Density Lipoprotein-Cholesterol; HDL-C, High Density Lipoprotein; TGL, Tryglicerides; COBI, cobicistat

#### **Discussion and Conclusions**

- In our study no difference was found in TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, and blood glucose in PLWH continuing an TAF-BR vs those switching to 2DR.
- The reasons for different results in literature about modification in lipid profile could depend on previous regimen before simplification.
- In the two randomized trial (1-2) there is i.e. and important difference in the percentages of PLWH on EVG/COBI. In 2 DR arm 10% and 66% of PLWH were on EVG/COBI respectively in SALSA and TANGO trial.
- This could be an important bias demonstrated by our results that showed switching from a previous COBI-including regimen was associated with a significant decrease in TC, LDL-C, and TGL.
- In the TAF-BR group a minimal but significant ALT increase was observed without differences in weight or lipid profile in the two groups. Anchor drug might contribute to ALT increase.

#### Reference

- 1. Llibre JM, Brites C, Cheng CY, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Switching to the 2-Drug Regimen Dolutegravir/Lamivudine Versus Continuing a 3- or 4-Drug Regimen From the Phase 3, Non-inferiority SALSA Randomized Trial Clin Infect Dis. 2022;ciac130. doi:10.1093/cid/ciac130
- 2. Osiyemi O, De Wit S, Ajana F, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Switching to Dolutegravir/Lamivudine Versus Continuing a Tenofovir Alafenamide-Based 3- or 4-Drug Regimen for Maintenance of Virologic Suppression in Adults Living With Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1: Results Through Week 144 From the Phase 3, Noninferiority TANGO Contacts: nicolasquillace74@gmail.com Randomized Trial. Clin Infect Dis. 2022;75(6):975-986.