P131



# Changes to LTBI Screening in New Diagnoses of HIV during the COVID-19 Pandemic M Henderson<sup>1,2</sup>, S Ojinnaka<sup>2</sup>, L Garvey<sup>2</sup>, NE Mackie<sup>2</sup>, B Mora-Peris<sup>1,2</sup>

Imperial College London<sup>1</sup>, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust<sup>2</sup>

### BACKGROUND

The British HIV Association recommends screening for latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) using an interferon gamma release assay (IGRA) in all persons with HIV (PWH) from:

• Medium and high-risk tuberculosis incidence countries

## METHODS

- Retrospective case note review of all new diagnoses of HIV attending a central London HIV service over a 2-year period.
- Data was collected 'pre-COVID-19' (01/03/2019 28/02/2020) and 'during-COVID-19' (01/03/2020 – 30/03/2021).
- Low risk countries with additional tuberculosis risk factors (Table 1).

The COVID-19 pandemic caused significant disruption to HIV/TB services.

#### AIM

We aimed to compare the percentage of newly diagnosed PWH screened for LTBI, prior to and during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic.

- Risk of TB was determined by Public Health England estimates of number and rate of TB cases by country and WHO region.
- PWH were risk categorised: medium and high-risk TB countries, and low risk countries with additional TB risk factors (Table 1).
- Those with active TB symptoms (chronic cough, fever, night sweats and/or weight loss) or previous TB were excluded from the analysis.
- Comparisons between time periods and risk-factor groups were appropriately undertaken using Chi-squared or Fisher's exact tests.

## RESULTS

- 86 new diagnoses of HIV were identified: 53/86 (62%) 'pre' and 33/86 (38%) 'during' COVID-19.
- Median age was 36 years (range 17-72) and 67/86 (78%) were male.
- Absolute CD4 count was  $\leq 200$  cells/µL in 22/86 (26%) individuals.
- 34/86 (40%) were eligible for LTBI screening, of which 24/34 (71%) were screened over the two-year period, all using an IGRA.

No statistically significant differences were seen in the number screened between time periods (Table 1).

- Overall, more patients who presented with a geographical tuberculosis risk were screened than those from low-risk groups with additional tuberculosis risk factors (78% vs. 43%; p value=0.16) (Table 1).
- Of those screened, 3/24 (12.5%) were positive, all from medium and high-risk countries.

	Total screened	Number screened in	Number screened in	p-value
		2019-2020, n=17	2020-2021, n=7	
Total eligible for	24/34 (71%)	17/23 (77%)	7/11 (63%)	0.54
screening, n=34				
Medium-high risk	21/27 (78%)	14/17 (82%)	7/10 (70%)	0.64
country, n=27				
Low risk country	3/7 (43%)	3/6 (50%)	0/1 (0%)	1
with additional TB				
risk factors, n=7				
*Additional TB risk f	actors include: PLW	/H with CD4+ cell counts <	<200 cells/mm <sup>3</sup> , recent ex	posure to a

# Table 1. Number screened for LTBI based on risk category and year

known TB case, Diabetes mellitus, Stage 4/5 chronic kidney disease, immunosuppressant agents, Travel to or periods of time spent in medium or high-incidence countries (>12 months), History of working in medical settings in countries with medium or high TB incidence, injecting drug use

# CONCLUSIONS

- A decline in the number of new diagnoses of HIV was seen during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic without significant changes in LTBI screening patterns.
- Clinicians should be aware of the need to screen those with additional tuberculosis risk factors, regardless of geographical tuberculosis risk.



Reference: Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (2022). https://www.cdc.gov/thinktesttreattb/

**Corresponding author**: Dr Merle Henderson <u>m.henderson@imperial.ac.uk</u>